NEW MEXICO INFLUENZA SURVEILLANCE UPDATE
2006-2007 Influenza Season

Epidemiology and Response Division, New Mexico Department of Health (NMDOH)

Weekly Report ending April 28, 2007 (MMWR Week 17)

NMDOH reported the state influenza activity as “No Activity” to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (see table below for definitions). As of late April, the Scientific Lab Division (SLD) had received 387 culture specimens since the beginning of the season. One hundred and eighteen specimens (30.5%) have been culture-positive and typed: 109 type A with subtyping showing a predominance of H1 over H3, and 9 type B. At this point in the season a subset of 5 specimens (two typeA/H1, one type A/H3 and two type B) has undergone further antigenic characterization at CDC. Results indicate that all the specimens match the strains in this season’s vaccine.

Summary of Influenza Activity in New Mexico for Week Ending 4/28/07:
- Nineteen of the 19 sentinel sites reported a total of 5,619 patient visits, of which 36 (0.64%) were positive for an influenza-like illness (ILI). The previous week ending April 21st reported 0.8% influenza-like illness.

Summary of Sentinel Laboratory Activity in New Mexico:

| Period of 2006-2007 Influenza Season | Number of Tests Performed** | Positive Type A (n,% | Positive Type B (n,% | Positive Type Unknown\(^3\) (n,% | Total Positive All Types (n,%)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week ending 4/28/07 (28 of 31 labs reporting)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4 (4.44%)</td>
<td>1 (1.11%)</td>
<td>1 (1.11%)</td>
<td>6 (6.67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative as of 10/1/06</td>
<td>10263</td>
<td>1168 (11.38%)</td>
<td>49 (0.48%)</td>
<td>39 (0.38%)</td>
<td>1256 (12.24%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Includes rapid antigen and immunofluorescence testing (i.e., direct fluorescent antibody staining)
Note: The sensitivity and specificity of point of care rapid diagnostic tests vary during times when influenza is not circulating widely. The NM Influenza Surveillance Program expects some false positive rapid diagnostic results outside the time of peak influenza activity (i.e., beginning and end of season). The first NM laboratory confirmed case of the influenza season is based on a positive viral culture result.

Influenza-Related Pediatric Mortality:
There have been fifty-three cases of influenza-related pediatric deaths reported to CDC this influenza season. NM has reported two confirmed influenza-related pediatric deaths this influenza season to CDC.

Reported Flu Activity in the Mountain Region and Texas, Week Ending 4/28/07:
Regionally, for the week ending 4/28/07, of the 7 other states in the Mountain Region, two states (Montana and Colorado) reported “local” activity, and the five remaining states (Arizona, Nevada, Utah, Wyoming and Idaho) reported “sporadic” activity. Texas reported “sporadic” activity.
National Flu Surveillance and Laboratory Activity, Week Ending 4/28/07:
Nationwide, for the week ending 4/28/07, 1.2% of patient visits to U.S. sentinel providers were due to ILI, which is below the national baseline of 2.1%. Influenza activity was reported as “Regional” by 3 states, "Local" by 9 states, “Sporadic” by 30 states, New York City and the District of Columbia. Eight states reported “No Activity”.

During this same week, WHO and NREVSS laboratories reported 1,673 specimens tested for influenza viruses, 164 (9.8%) of which were positive: 15 influenza A (H1), 71 influenza A (H3), 50 influenza A that were not subtyped, and 28 influenza B viruses.

Beginning in October 2006, CDC has performed the antigenic characterization of 647 influenza virus isolates. The following table summarizes the consistency of the isolates with the antigenic makeup of this season’s vaccine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2006-2007 Vaccine Makeup</th>
<th>Type, Subtype</th>
<th>Number of Antigenically Similar Isolates</th>
<th>Total Number of Sub-typed Isolates</th>
<th>% Matching Similar to Vaccine Strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/New Caledonia/20/1999-like</td>
<td>A (H1)</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Wisconsin/67/2005-like</td>
<td>A (H3)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/Ohio/01/2005*</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some vaccine manufacturers may have included B/Malaysia/2506/2004-like as the B component. These two vaccine strains are antigenically equivalent and belong to the B/Victoria lineage of viruses.

Update on vaccine recommendations for the next influenza season:

Recommended vaccine composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the 2007-2008 influenza season:
  - an A/Solomon Islands/3/2006 (H1N1)-like virus (a recent antigenic variant of A/New Caledonia/20/1999-like)
  - an A/Wisconsin/67/2005 (H3N2)-like virus
  - a B/Malaysia/2506/2004-like virus

  a Vaccine viruses include:
    A/Wisconsin/67/2005 (H3N2) and A/Hiroshima/52/2005

More information on national surveillance can be found at [http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/](http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/).

*********************************************************************
This information is collected by the Infectious Disease Epidemiology Bureau, Epidemiology Response Division, NMDOH. For questions, please call 505-827-0006. For more information on influenza go to the NMDOH web page: [http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/](http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/) or the CDC web page: [http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/flu.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/flu.htm)
**Activity Level** | **ILI activity*/Outbreaks** | **Laboratory data**
--- | --- | ---
**No activity** | Low | No lab confirmed cases†
**Sporadic** | Not increased | Isolated lab-confirmed cases OR
Not increased | Lab confirmed outbreak in one institution†
**Local** | Increased ILI in 1 region**; ILI activity in other regions is not increased | Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab evidence of influenza in region with increased ILI OR
2 or more institutional outbreaks (ILI or lab confirmed) in 1 region; ILI activity in other regions is not increased | Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab evidence of influenza in region with the outbreaks; virus activity is no greater than sporadic in other regions
**Regional** (doesn't apply to states with ≤4 regions) | Increased ILI in ≥2 but less than half of the regions | Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab confirmed influenza in the affected regions OR
Institutional outbreaks (ILI or lab confirmed) in ≥2 and less than half of the regions | Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab confirmed influenza in the affected regions
**Widespread** | Increased ILI and/or institutional outbreaks (ILI or lab confirmed) in at least half of the regions | Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab confirmed influenza in the state.

*Influenza-like illness: Fever (≥100°F [37.8°C], oral or equivalent) and cough and/or sore throat (in the absence of a known cause other than influenza)
† Lab confirmed case = case confirmed by rapid diagnostic test, antigen detection, culture, or PCR. Care should be given when relying on results of point of care rapid diagnostic test kits during times when influenza is not circulating widely. The sensitivity and specificity of these tests vary and the predictive value positive may be low outside the time of peak influenza activity. Therefore, a state may wish to obtain laboratory confirmation of influenza by testing methods other than point of care rapid tests for reporting the first laboratory confirmed case of influenza of the season.
‡ Institution includes nursing home, hospital, prison, school, etc.
**Region: population under surveillance in a defined geographical subdivision of a state. A region could be comprised of 1 or more counties and would be based on each state’s specific circumstances. Depending on the size of the state, the number of regions could range from 2 to approximately 12. The definition of regions would be left to the state but existing state health districts could be used in many states. Allowing states to define regions would avoid somewhat arbitrary county lines and allow states to make divisions that make sense based on geographic population clusters. Focusing on regions larger than counties would also improve the likelihood that data needed for estimating activity would be available.
Influenza Surveillance Graphs, 2-season comparison:
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