NEW MEXICO INFLUENZA SURVEILLANCE UPDATE from the Epidemiology and Response Division of the New Mexico Department of Health (NMDOH)

Summary of Influenza Activity in New Mexico for Week Ending November 5, 2005:
- Twenty of the 21 sentinel sites reported a total of 5,006 patient visits, of which 40 (0.79%) were for an influenza-like illness\(^1\). The previous week ending October 29th reported 0.52% influenza-like illness.
- NMDOH received two reports of positive influenza A and one report of Influenza B tests results using rapid testing. There were no reports of positive influenza by culture.
- NMDOH reported the state influenza activity as “NO ACTIVITY” to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (see table below for definitions).

Laboratory Activity in NM:
- For the week ending November 5, 2005, 16 of 16 clinical laboratories reported performing 35 rapid or DFA tests, of which two (5.71%) were positive for influenza A, one (2.86%) was positive for influenza B and none indistinguishable\(^2\).
- Since October 2, 2005, NMDOH has received reports of four (3.25%) positive rapid influenza A tests, one (0.81%) positive rapid influenza B tests and no indistinguishable\(^2\) positive rapid influenza tests out of 123 rapid tests performed at 16 clinical laboratories.

Influenza-Related Pediatric Mortality
During the week ending October 29, 2005, there were no influenza-related pediatric deaths reported to CDC. No cases have been reported to NMDOH.

Flu Activity in the Mountain Region and Texas
For the week ending October 29, 2005 (the most recent data available), influenza activity was reported as “Sporadic” by Texas, Arizona, Idaho, Utah, Wyoming and Colorado; and “No Activity” was reported by Montana, Nevada, and New Mexico. One specimen tested for Influenza virus was positive for Influenza A and subtyped as H3N2 in the Mountain Region. Since October 2, 2005, there have been a total of two influenza A (H3N2) specimens in the Mountain Region (Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming)\(^3\).

National Flu Surveillance and Laboratory Activity
For the week ending October 29, 2005, three (0.4%) of 755 specimens tested for influenza viruses were positive. Of these, one was an influenza A (H3N2) and two were influenza A that were not subtyped. Nationwide, 1.2% of patient visits to U.S. sentinel providers were

---

\(^1\) Influenza-like Activity (ILI) is defined as Fever (≥ 100°F [37.8°C], oral or equivalent) AND cough and/or sore throat in absence of a KNOWN cause other than influenza.
\(^2\) Some rapid influenza tests cannot differentiate between types A and B.
\(^3\) All data are preliminary and change as more reports are received after the end of the reporting week.
due to influenza-like-illness. Influenza activity was reported as ‘Sporadic’ by fourteen states and Puerto Rico. Thirty-six states, New York City and District of Columbia reported ‘No Activity’. More information on national surveillance can be found at [http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/](http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/).

This information is collected by the Infectious Disease Epidemiology Bureau, Epidemiology Response Division, NMDOH. For questions, please call 505-827-0006. For more information on influenza go to the NMDOH web page: [http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/](http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/) or the CDC web page: [http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/fluavirus.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/fluavirus.htm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Level</th>
<th>ILI activity*/Outbreaks</th>
<th>Laboratory data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No activity</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>Not increased</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased ILI in 1 region**; ILI activity in other regions is not increased</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>2 or more institutional outbreaks (ILI or lab confirmed) in 1 region; ILI activity in other regions is not increased</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional (doesn’t apply to states with ≤4 regions)</td>
<td>Increased ILI in ≥2 but less than half of the regions</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Institutional outbreaks (ILI or lab confirmed) in ≥2 and less than half of the regions</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread</td>
<td>Increased ILI and/or institutional outbreaks (ILI or lab confirmed) in at least half of the regions</td>
<td>And</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ILI activity can be assessed using a variety of data sources including sentinel providers, school/workplace absenteeism, and other syndromic surveillance systems that monitor influenza-like illness.

† Lab confirmed case = case confirmed by rapid diagnostic test, antigen detection, culture, or PCR. Care should be given when relying on results of point of care rapid diagnostic test kits during times when influenza is not circulating widely. The sensitivity and specificity of these tests vary and the predicative value positive may be low outside the time of peak influenza activity. Therefore, a state may wish to obtain laboratory confirmation of influenza by testing methods other than point of care rapid tests for reporting the first laboratory confirmed case of influenza of the season.

‡ Institution includes nursing home, hospital, prison, school, etc.

**Region: population under surveillance in a defined geographical subdivision of a state. A region could be comprised of 1 or more counties and would be based on each state’s specific circumstances. Depending on the size of the state, the number of regions could range from 2 to approximately 12. The definition of regions would be left to the state but existing state health districts could be used in many states. Allowing states to define regions would avoid somewhat arbitrary county lines and allow states to make divisions that make sense based on geographic population clusters. Focusing on regions larger than counties would also improve the likelihood that data needed for estimating activity would be available.
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* Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)